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## Take-away considerations.

- Understanding interaction of product, pathogen and process variables is a prerequisite for food safety technology enablement.


## What foundational information is necessary to harness the power of the latest technology advances?

- Sensor and data collection technology continues to become more robust and less costly, implementation of new technology can improve food safety.

> How can additional sensors and process data improve food safety and reduce operational costs for your dry roasting process?

# Dry roasting and pathogen inactivation background. 

## Background.

## Dry roasting inactivation

- Uses convective heat transfer as a preservation technology that reduces the probability of spoilage and pathogenic growth
- Brings about positive chemical and physical changes
- Develops final color and flavor profile


Zone - Referencing a specific dryer area with individually controlled airflow, volume, temperature, exhaust volume, and humidity


- Reduces cost of finished product synchronously with pathogen reduction
- Dewaters product for increased shelf stability
- Reduces number of processing steps


## Background.

## Why validate a dry roasting process for pathogen reduction?

- Dry foods were previously considered low risk because they do not support pathogenic growth
- Pathogenic contamination in dry foods is now broadly recognized (Beuchat et al., 2014)
- Pathogens like Salmonella have the ability to survive for long periods of time (>1 year) in dry environments
- Salmonella can cause illness with as few as 1 cell
- Salmonella is often recognized as the target pathogen for validations because it's often the most difficult to inactivate.
- Validation of dry roasting operation reduces a need for further processing




## Key factors of validation science.



## Background.

## Market drivers for new validation services

Shared

- Impact to brand due to market recall.

Producers

- Validation process is expensive and time consuming.
- Validation "minimums" can limit ability to develop desirable product characteristics.
- Wasted product is added cost.
- Re-processing adds cost.
- Industry benchmark of paper records are costly to manage.
- Limiting human interaction with food production.


## Machinery OEMs

- Paper recording equipment expensive to supply, difficult to service.
- Instrumentation and automation solutions becoming more effective and lower cost.
- Validation services and products are an added revenue stream.


## Regulatory / Associations

- FSMA mandates preventive controls for hazards in foods
- Risk management decisions can be made with greater confidence
- Regulatory groups (e.g., GMA) have developed guidelines for low moisture foods with limited published data


## Shared

- Impact to people, sickness prevention.
- Future impact to people, lack of food / nutrition availability.


# Study: Layer depth inactivation impacts. 

## Background, Objective and justification. All nuts are not the same.

- Previously published study indicated that layer depth had no statistical effect on inactivation in peanuts (Poirier et al. 2014).
- Regulatory guidelines indicate that layer depth affects inactivation in almonds (ABC, 2014), but there is no published study to confirm this.
- Temperature distributions within a layer ("slab") are driven by its thickness (i.e., thinner layers heat up faster than thicker layers).

Why did industry fund a study?

- Peanuts are not almonds, results for peanut studies cannot be assumed for almonds.
- To provide guidance on effects of bed depth on almonds.
- Layer thickness has direct correlation to capacity of conveyor-based dry roasters.
- To provide contribution to support industry in producing safer foods.
- To expand knowledge of machinery OEM into microbiology and effects of thermal processing on pathogen reductions.

The objective of this study is to quantify impact of layer depth on E. faecium inactivation on almonds for different retention times and process temperatures

## Microbiological results.

## Comparing temperatures at constant layer depths




> Higher temperatures corresponded to faster inactivation

## Microbiological results.

## Comparing layer depths at constant temperatures




$>$ Layer depth did not show an influence on inactivation

## Summary and conclusions.

- Layer depth influenced product temperature and moisture, but NOT microbial inactivation.
- Both time and temperature had practical and/or statistical implications for microbial inactivation.

Detailed studies to understand process variable impacts are a foundation for IIoT enabled technologies.

## Technology considerations

 forSafe Food Processing.

## Continuous inactivation technology.

Temperature
Array

Formula: $\quad$ Log reduction $=\Sigma\left[\left(10^{\frac{T-T_{r e f}}{z}}\right) \Delta t\right] / D_{\text {ref }}$

$D_{\text {ref }}$
is the number of minutes required for a 1-log (90\%) reduction of the target organism. Under constant conditions.
Is time, in minutes
$T \quad$ is the measured temperature in the heat penetration study is the reference temperature of the TDT study.
is the number of degrees $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ or $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ of the TDT curve to traverse 1 log cycle.

Traceability Improvements with Cumulative Inactivation Ability to monitor, report and alert on product laver depth, surface temperature and roasting time parameters in real time.


## Process measurements. <br> Sensor placement

Air temperature measurements


Depth, Time and In-product temperature measurements


## Sensor technology.



## Temperature

| Measurement Method |
| :--- |
| RTD or Thermocouple |
| Pros |
| Durability against heated environment |
| Cons |
| Thermocouple - wiring type / extension leads |

## Where

In convective airstream and in product layer

Why
To measure heat availability in airstream - air measurements, or to measure heat transfer to product - product surface measurements

## Time



Measurement Method
Magnetic proximity sensing - or VFD reading
Pros
Simple setup

## Cons

Heat limitations (proximity sensing)

## Where

Using mechanical relationships and variable frequency drive -or- at rotational shaft
Why
To measure time in heating / cooling sections

## Depth / Distance

Measurement Method
Laser, time of flight
Pros
Simple setup, non-contact

## Cons

Heat limitations, accuracy on varied mediums and distance from target

## Where

Near inlet of roaster

## Why

To maximize capacity, monitor depth per existing HARPC

## Data collection and records management methods. Concept

## Field Sensors Layer

Place where sensors are located and connected into machinery "neural" network. These connections are analog or digital direct wire, or communicated over industrial protocol to the IoT panel layer.

## IoT Panel Layer

Place where sensor data is aggregated and formatted for transmission to cloud based storage. Affords opportunity for local processing for "near-real-time" events.

## Machine Layer

Place where machine critical operations are done (e.g. safety management). This also includes sensing equipment which can be transmitted over industrial protocol to the IoT panel layer.

## Cloud and Web Visualization Layer

Offsite, non-self hosted infrastructure, low costs for single machine related volumes. Receives all data from loT panel layer and is final storage location for information. Affords location for event management, data visualization and big data analytics. Also allows aggregation with other databases which may enrich the quality of machinery related data.


## HARPC changes applied. <br> Hazard Biological - Salmonella

## Before, truncated

## Critical Limit

Time/Temperature conditions to achieve at 4-log kill for Salmonella spp. are listed below:

```
Minimum Temperature
```

Minimum Time
$280^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\left(138^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

23 minutes

## After, truncated

## Critical Limit

Log reduction $>4 \log$. Continuous inactivation of log reduction for Salmonella spp. is described by the following formula:

$$
\text { Log reduction }=\sum\left[\left(10^{\frac{T-T_{r e f}}{z}}\right) \Delta t\right] / D_{\text {ref }}
$$

## Monitoring Activity / Frequency / Responsibility

Temperature and roasting time: shall be recorded on $<3$ s interval: Temperature measurements shall be recorded at the coldest lane. All data will be collected within Microsoft Azure cloud SQL database. A local, redundant data buffer of 30 days minimum will exist to mitigate cloud connectivity concerns.

## Corrective Action Activity / Responsibility

..product shall be identified and put on Quarantine Hold by pre-defined control limits and automatically diverted to Quarantine Hold location. Notifications are automatically triggered to the pre-designated personnel to determine disposition. All anomalies are logged by event in Microsoft Azure cloud SQL database. Hold/ Release authorization is event logged in Microsoft Azure cloud SQL database by only authorized personnel.

## Records / Location

Records - located Microsoft Azure cloud SQL database. Uncontrolled copies can be printed via web-based interface.

Wrap-Up.

## Take-away objectives.

What foundational information is necessary to harness the power of the latest technology advances?

No two products, processes or pathogens are alike. Foundational research exists on many pathogens, processes and products. If not available many private and public resources exists to develop new know-how. With this information at hand, technology advances can be harnessed to produce safe food.

How can additional sensors and process data improve food safety and reduce operational costs?

With the addition of sensor and control technology available today processors can monitor in real time calculated pathogen kill. This enables faster corrective actions if deviations occur. This improves a producer's ability to make safe food and improve sustainability.

Q\&A.

Innovations for a better world.

-     -         -             - 

